

Differential Galois theory allowing additional algebraic constants

David Meretzky
University of Notre Dame \rightarrow Universidad de los Andes

ASL Model Theory Session
May 13th, 2025

Differential Fields

Definition

An ordinary differential field is a pair (K, δ) where K is a field and $\delta : K \rightarrow K$ is a function such that $\forall x, y \in K$

- ① $\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y)$
- ② $\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$

Differential Fields

Definition

An ordinary differential field is a pair (K, δ) where K is a field and $\delta : K \rightarrow K$ is a function such that $\forall x, y \in K$

- ① $\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y)$
- ② $\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$

Example of a partial differential field: $(\mathbb{C}(x, t, x^t, \ln(x)), \frac{d}{dx}, \frac{d}{dt})$.

Differential Fields

Definition

An ordinary differential field is a pair (K, δ) where K is a field and $\delta : K \rightarrow K$ is a function such that $\forall x, y \in K$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y)$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$$

Example of a partial differential field: $(\mathbb{C}(x, t, x^t, \ln(x)), \frac{d}{dx}, \frac{d}{dt})$.

We focus on the ordinary (one derivation) case and characteristic 0.

Model theory of differential fields

The theory of differential fields of char 0 in the language $L_\delta = L_{rings} \cup \{\delta\}$ has a model companion, DCF_0 , the theory of existentially closed differential fields.

Model theory of differential fields

The theory of differential fields of char 0 in the language $L_\delta = L_{rings} \cup \{\delta\}$ has a model companion, DCF_0 , the theory of existentially closed differential fields.

DCF_0 has QE, EI, and is totally transcendental.

Model theory of differential fields

The theory of differential fields of char 0 in the language $L_\delta = L_{rings} \cup \{\delta\}$ has a model companion, DCF_0 , the theory of existentially closed differential fields.

DCF_0 has QE, EI, and is totally transcendental.

Every (K, δ) has a subfield of constants $C_K = \{a \in K : \delta(a) = 0\}$.

Model theory of differential fields

The theory of differential fields of char 0 in the language $L_\delta = L_{rings} \cup \{\delta\}$ has a model companion, DCF_0 , the theory of existentially closed differential fields.

DCF_0 has QE, EI, and is totally transcendental.

Every (K, δ) has a subfield of constants $C_K = \{a \in K : \delta(a) = 0\}$.

E.g. Let $K = (\mathbb{Q}(t), \frac{d}{dt})$ then $C_K = \mathbb{Q}$.

Model theory of differential fields

The theory of differential fields of char 0 in the language $L_\delta = L_{rings} \cup \{\delta\}$ has a model companion, DCF_0 , the theory of existentially closed differential fields.

DCF_0 has QE, EI, and is totally transcendental.

Every (K, δ) has a subfield of constants $C_K = \{a \in K : \delta(a) = 0\}$.

E.g. Let $K = (\mathbb{Q}(t), \frac{d}{dt})$ then $C_K = \mathbb{Q}$.

By total transcendentality of T , every differential field (K, δ) is contained in prime model of DCF_0 , $(K^{\text{diff}}, \delta)$, which we call the differential closure of K .

Model theory of differential fields

The theory of differential fields of char 0 in the language $L_\delta = L_{rings} \cup \{\delta\}$ has a model companion, DCF_0 , the theory of existentially closed differential fields.

DCF_0 has QE, EI, and is totally transcendental.

Every (K, δ) has a subfield of constants $C_K = \{a \in K : \delta(a) = 0\}$.

E.g. Let $K = (\mathbb{Q}(t), \frac{d}{dt})$ then $C_K = \mathbb{Q}$.

By total transcendentality of T , every differential field (K, δ) is contained in prime model of DCF_0 , $(K^{\text{diff}}, \delta)$, which we call the differential closure of K .

From atomicity of the prime model: $C_{K^{\text{diff}}} = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$.

Picard-Vessiot Theory

Let (K, δ) be a differential field. An ordinary linear homogeneous differential equation (OHDLE) over K is an equation of the form

$$\delta^{(n)}(y) + a_{n-1}\delta^{(n-1)}(y) + \dots + a_1\delta(y) + a_0y = 0 \quad a_i \in K.$$

Picard-Vessiot Theory

Let (K, δ) be a differential field. An ordinary linear homogeneous differential equation (OHDLE) over K is an equation of the form

$$\delta^{(n)}(y) + a_{n-1}\delta^{(n-1)}(y) + \dots + a_1\delta(y) + a_0y = 0 \quad a_i \in K.$$

For any $K \subset L$ and OHLDE Y over K , the solution set $Y(L)$ is a C_L -vector space of dimension at most n .

Picard-Vessiot Theory

Let (K, δ) be a differential field. An ordinary linear homogeneous differential equation (OHDLE) over K is an equation of the form

$$\delta^{(n)}(y) + a_{n-1}\delta^{(n-1)}(y) + \dots + a_1\delta(y) + a_0y = 0 \quad a_i \in K.$$

For any $K \subset L$ and OHLDE Y over K , the solution set $Y(L)$ is a C_L -vector space of dimension at most n .

A fundamental system of solutions to Y is a C_L -basis of $Y(L)$, of the maximal length n , contained in a differential extension L of K .

Picard-Vessiot Theory

Let (K, δ) be a differential field. An ordinary linear homogeneous differential equation (OHDLE) over K is an equation of the form

$$\delta^{(n)}(y) + a_{n-1}\delta^{(n-1)}(y) + \dots + a_1\delta(y) + a_0y = 0 \quad a_i \in K.$$

For any $K \subset L$ and OHLDE Y over K , the solution set $Y(L)$ is a C_L -vector space of dimension at most n .

A fundamental system of solutions to Y is a C_L -basis of $Y(L)$, of the maximal length n , contained in a differential extension L of K .

A Picard-Vessiot (PV) extension L of K is a differential extension generated by a fundamental system of solutions to an OHDLE over K and such that $C_L = C_K$.

Picard-Vessiot Theory

Let (K, δ) be a differential field. An ordinary linear homogeneous differential equation (OHDLE) over K is an equation of the form

$$\delta^{(n)}(y) + a_{n-1}\delta^{(n-1)}(y) + \dots + a_1\delta(y) + a_0y = 0 \quad a_i \in K.$$

For any $K \subset L$ and OHLDE Y over K , the solution set $Y(L)$ is a C_L -vector space of dimension at most n .

A fundamental system of solutions to Y is a C_L -basis of $Y(L)$, of the maximal length n , contained in a differential extension L of K .

A Picard-Vessiot (PV) extension L of K is a differential extension generated by a fundamental system of solutions to an OHDLE over K and such that $C_L = C_K$. In which case $Y(L)$ is a C_K -vector space of dim n .

Picard-Vessiot Theory

Let (K, δ) be a differential field. An ordinary linear homogeneous differential equation (OHDLE) over K is an equation of the form

$$\delta^{(n)}(y) + a_{n-1}\delta^{(n-1)}(y) + \dots + a_1\delta(y) + a_0y = 0 \quad a_i \in K.$$

For any $K \subset L$ and OHLDE Y over K , the solution set $Y(L)$ is a C_L -vector space of dimension at most n .

A fundamental system of solutions to Y is a C_L -basis of $Y(L)$, of the maximal length n , contained in a differential extension L of K .

A Picard-Vessiot (PV) extension L of K is a differential extension generated by a fundamental system of solutions to an OHDLE over K and such that $C_L = C_K$. In which case $Y(L)$ is a C_K -vector space of dim n .

Ex. Let $K = (\mathbb{Q}, \frac{d}{dt}) \subset (\mathbb{Q}(e^t), \frac{d}{dt}) = L$.

Picard-Vessiot Theory

Let (K, δ) be a differential field. An ordinary linear homogeneous differential equation (OHDLE) over K is an equation of the form

$$\delta^{(n)}(y) + a_{n-1}\delta^{(n-1)}(y) + \dots + a_1\delta(y) + a_0y = 0 \quad a_i \in K.$$

For any $K \subset L$ and OHDLE Y over K , the solution set $Y(L)$ is a C_L -vector space of dimension at most n .

A fundamental system of solutions to Y is a C_L -basis of $Y(L)$, of the maximal length n , contained in a differential extension L of K .

A Picard-Vessiot (PV) extension L of K is a differential extension generated by a fundamental system of solutions to an OHDLE over K and such that $C_L = C_K$. In which case $Y(L)$ is a C_K -vector space of dim n .

Ex. Let $K = (\mathbb{Q}, \frac{d}{dt}) \subset (\mathbb{Q}(e^t), \frac{d}{dt}) = L$. Then e^t is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $V(L) = \{ce^t : c \in C_L = C_K = \mathbb{Q}\}$ for $\delta(y) - y = 0$.

Picard-Vessiot Theory II

Let (K, δ) be and Y be an OHLDE over K .

Picard-Vessiot Theory II

Let (K, δ) be and Y be an OHLDE over K .

Fact

If $C_K = (C_K)^{alg}$, then there exists a Picard-Vessiot extension L intermediate in $K \subseteq L \subseteq K^{diff}$.

Picard-Vessiot Theory II

Let (K, δ) be and Y be an OHLDE over K .

Fact

If $C_K = (C_K)^{alg}$, then there exists a Picard-Vessiot extension L intermediate in $K \subseteq L \subseteq K^{diff}$.

Proof.

By the existential closure of K^{diff} , one can find n -independent solutions \bar{b} to Y in K^{diff} .



Picard-Vessiot Theory II

Let (K, δ) be and Y be an OHLDE over K .

Fact

If $C_K = (C_K)^{alg}$, then there exists a Picard-Vessiot extension L intermediate in $K \subseteq L \subseteq K^{diff}$.

Proof.

By the existential closure of K^{diff} , one can find n -independent solutions \bar{b} to Y in K^{diff} . Let $L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle$.



Picard-Vessiot Theory II

Let (K, δ) be and Y be an OHLDE over K .

Fact

If $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$, then there exists a Picard-Vessiot extension L intermediate in $K \subseteq L \subseteq K^{\text{diff}}$.

Proof.

By the existential closure of K^{diff} , one can find n -independent solutions \bar{b} to Y in K^{diff} . Let $L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle$.

Since $C_{K^{\text{diff}}} = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$, then $C_L = C_K$ for any intermediate L :

$$C_K \subseteq C_L \subseteq C_{K^{\text{diff}}} = (C_K)^{\text{alg}} = C_K$$



Picard-Vessiot Theory II

Let (K, δ) be and Y be an OHLDE over K .

Fact

If $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$, then there exists a Picard-Vessiot extension L intermediate in $K \subseteq L \subseteq K^{\text{diff}}$.

Proof.

By the existential closure of K^{diff} , one can find n -independent solutions \bar{b} to Y in K^{diff} . Let $L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle$.

Since $C_{K^{\text{diff}}} = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$, then $C_L = C_K$ for any intermediate L :
 $C_K \subseteq C_L \subseteq C_{K^{\text{diff}}} = (C_K)^{\text{alg}} = C_K$



Existence and uniqueness/multiplicity of Picard-Vessiot extensions is sensitive to field-arithmetic, model-theoretic, and Galois-cohomological properties of C_K .

Fundamental Theorem for PV Theory

Theorem (Kolchin 1948+)

Fundamental Theorem for PV Theory

Theorem (Kolchin 1948+)

Let $K \subset L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle \subset K^{\text{diff}}$ be a PV extension (not assuming $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$).

Fundamental Theorem for PV Theory

Theorem (Kolchin 1948+)

Let $K \subset L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle \subset K^{\text{diff}}$ be a PV extension (not assuming $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$). Then there is a linear algebraic group $G \subset GL_n$ defined over C_K .

Fundamental Theorem for PV Theory

Theorem (Kolchin 1948+)

Let $K \subset L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle \subset K^{\text{diff}}$ be a PV extension (not assuming $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$). Then there is a linear algebraic group $G \subset GL_n$ defined over C_K . Satisfying:

Fundamental Theorem for PV Theory

Theorem (Kolchin 1948+)

Let $K \subset L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle \subset K^{\text{diff}}$ be a PV extension (not assuming $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$). Then there is a linear algebraic group $G \subset GL_n$ defined over C_K . Satisfying:

1. $\text{Aut}(L/K) \cong G(C_K)$ The associated “direct” correspondence between $K \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L$ and $e \subseteq G_1(C_K) \subseteq G(C_K)$ is partial.

Fundamental Theorem for PV Theory

Theorem (Kolchin 1948+)

Let $K \subset L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle \subset K^{\text{diff}}$ be a PV extension (not assuming $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$). Then there is a linear algebraic group $G \subset GL_n$ defined over C_K . Satisfying:

1. $\text{Aut}(L/K) \cong G(C_K)$ The associated “direct” correspondence between $K \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L$ and $e \subseteq G_1(C_K) \subseteq G(C_K)$ is partial.
2. $\text{Aut}(L(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})/K(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})) \cong G(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})$

Fundamental Theorem for PV Theory

Theorem (Kolchin 1948+)

Let $K \subset L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle \subset K^{\text{diff}}$ be a PV extension (not assuming $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$). Then there is a linear algebraic group $G \subset GL_n$ defined over C_K . Satisfying:

1. $\text{Aut}(L/K) \cong G(C_K)$ The associated “direct” correspondence between $K \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L$ and $e \subseteq G_1(C_K) \subseteq G(C_K)$ is partial.

2. $\text{Aut}(L(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})/K(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})) \cong G(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})$

$\sigma \mapsto c_\sigma \in GL_n(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})$ s.t. $\sigma(\bar{b}) = \bar{b}c_\sigma$

Fundamental Theorem for PV Theory

Theorem (Kolchin 1948+)

Let $K \subset L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle \subset K^{\text{diff}}$ be a PV extension (not assuming $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$). Then there is a linear algebraic group $G \subset GL_n$ defined over C_K . Satisfying:

1. $\text{Aut}(L/K) \cong G(C_K)$ The associated “direct” correspondence between $K \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L$ and $e \subseteq G_1(C_K) \subseteq G(C_K)$ is partial.

2. $\text{Aut}(L(C_K^{\text{diff}})/K(C_K^{\text{diff}})) \cong G(C_K^{\text{diff}})$

$\sigma \mapsto c_\sigma \in GL_n(C_K^{\text{diff}})$ s.t. $\sigma(\bar{b}) = \bar{b}c_\sigma$

The “indirect” correspondence: There is a full Galois correspondence between all C_K -definable algebraic subgroups $\{e\} \subseteq G_1 \subseteq G$ and all intermediate differential fields $K \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L$.

Fundamental Theorem for PV Theory

Theorem (Kolchin 1948+)

Let $K \subset L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle \subset K^{\text{diff}}$ be a PV extension (not assuming $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$). Then there is a linear algebraic group $G \subset GL_n$ defined over C_K . Satisfying:

1. $\text{Aut}(L/K) \cong G(C_K)$ The associated “direct” correspondence between $K \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L$ and $e \subseteq G_1(C_K) \subseteq G(C_K)$ is partial.

2. $\text{Aut}(L(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})/K(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})) \cong G(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})$

$\sigma \mapsto c_\sigma \in GL_n(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})$ s.t. $\sigma(\bar{b}) = \bar{b}c_\sigma$

The “indirect” correspondence: There is a full Galois correspondence between all C_K -definable algebraic subgroups $\{e\} \subseteq G_1 \subseteq G$ and all intermediate differential fields $K \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L$.

3. The torsor theorem: The realizations of $\text{tp}(\bar{b}/K(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})) = \text{tp}(\bar{b}/K)$ in K^{diff} , $Q_{\bar{b}}(K^{\text{diff}})$, is a right K -definable torsor for $G(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})$.

Early existence theorems for PV extensions

Let Y be an OHDLE over a differential field K .

Early existence theorems for PV extensions

Let Y be an OHDLE over a differential field K .

One can always find a fundamental system \bar{b} in K^{diff} , in which case, generating $L = K\langle\bar{b}\rangle$, the associated extension C_L/C_K is finite.

Early existence theorems for PV extensions

Let Y be an OHDLE over a differential field K .

One can always find a fundamental system \bar{b} in K^{diff} , in which case, generating $L = K\langle\bar{b}\rangle$, the associated extension C_L/C_K is finite.

(Kolchin 1948a): If $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$, then a PV extension exists for Y in K^{diff} .

Early existence theorems for PV extensions

Let Y be an OHDLE over a differential field K .

One can always find a fundamental system \bar{b} in K^{diff} , in which case, generating $L = K\langle\bar{b}\rangle$, the associated extension C_L/C_K is finite.

(Kolchin 1948a): If $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$, then a PV extension exists for Y in K^{diff} .

(Seidenberg 1956) negative example: Let $K = \mathbb{R}(\alpha)$ where α is a transcendental solution to $(2\alpha)^2 + (\delta(\alpha))^2 = -1$.

Early existence theorems for PV extensions

Let Y be an OHDLE over a differential field K .

One can always find a fundamental system \bar{b} in K^{diff} , in which case, generating $L = K\langle\bar{b}\rangle$, the associated extension C_L/C_K is finite.

(Kolchin 1948a): If $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$, then a PV extension exists for Y in K^{diff} .

(Seidenberg 1956) negative example: Let $K = \mathbb{R}(\alpha)$ where α is a transcendental solution to $(2\alpha)^2 + (\delta(\alpha))^2 = -1$. Let Y be $\delta^2(y) + y = 0$.

Early existence theorems for PV extensions

Let Y be an OHDLE over a differential field K .

One can always find a fundamental system \bar{b} in K^{diff} , in which case, generating $L = K\langle\bar{b}\rangle$, the associated extension C_L/C_K is finite.

(Kolchin 1948a): If $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$, then a PV extension exists for Y in K^{diff} .

(Seidenberg 1956) negative example: Let $K = \mathbb{R}(\alpha)$ where α is a transcendental solution to $(2\alpha)^2 + (\delta(\alpha))^2 = -1$. Let Y be $\delta^2(y) + y = 0$. For any fundamental system \bar{b} for Y , $L = K\langle\bar{b}\rangle$ has $C_L = \mathbb{C} \neq C_K = \mathbb{R}$. i.e. K has no PV extension for Y .

Early existence theorems for PV extensions

Let Y be an OHDLE over a differential field K .

One can always find a fundamental system \bar{b} in K^{diff} , in which case, generating $L = K\langle\bar{b}\rangle$, the associated extension C_L/C_K is finite.

(Kolchin 1948a): If $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$, then a PV extension exists for Y in K^{diff} .

(Seidenberg 1956) negative example: Let $K = \mathbb{R}(\alpha)$ where α is a transcendental solution to $(2\alpha)^2 + (\delta(\alpha))^2 = -1$. Let Y be $\delta^2(y) + y = 0$. For any fundamental system \bar{b} for Y , $L = K\langle\bar{b}\rangle$ has $C_L = \mathbb{C} \neq C_K = \mathbb{R}$. i.e. K has no PV extension for Y .

(Epstein 1955a): One can always find a fundamental system \bar{b} for Y , an OHLDE, such that C_L/C_K is a Galois extension.

Early existence theorems for PV extensions

Let Y be an OHDLE over a differential field K .

One can always find a fundamental system \bar{b} in K^{diff} , in which case, generating $L = K\langle\bar{b}\rangle$, the associated extension C_L/C_K is finite.

(Kolchin 1948a): If $C_K = (C_K)^{\text{alg}}$, then a PV extension exists for Y in K^{diff} .

(Seidenberg 1956) negative example: Let $K = \mathbb{R}(\alpha)$ where α is a transcendental solution to $(2\alpha)^2 + (\delta(\alpha))^2 = -1$. Let Y be $\delta^2(y) + y = 0$. For any fundamental system \bar{b} for Y , $L = K\langle\bar{b}\rangle$ has $C_L = \mathbb{C} \neq C_K = \mathbb{R}$. i.e. K has no PV extension for Y .

(Epstein 1955a): One can always find a fundamental system \bar{b} for Y , an OHLDE, such that C_L/C_K is a Galois extension. (Epstein 1955b): A partial Galois correspondence is shown for these extensions $L = K\langle\bar{b}\rangle$ with C_L/C_K Galois.

PV extensions with new algebraic constants

Theorem (M. 2025), Generalizes (Epstein 1955b): Theorem 1.

Let L/K be generated by a fundamental system with C_L/C_K a finite algebraic extension. Then $\text{Aut}(L/K) \cong G(C_K)$ for G a linear algebraic group defined over C_K .

PV extensions with new algebraic constants

Theorem (M. 2025), Generalizes (Epstein 1955b): Theorem 1.

Let L/K be generated by a fundamental system with C_L/C_K a finite algebraic extension. Then $\text{Aut}(L/K) \cong G(C_K)$ for G a linear algebraic group defined over C_K .

Let $L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle$. We have $K \subseteq K(C_L) \subseteq L$. Note $K(C_L) \subseteq L$ is PV.

PV extensions with new algebraic constants

Theorem (M. 2025), Generalizes (Epstein 1955b): Theorem 1.

Let L/K be generated by a fundamental system with C_L/C_K a finite algebraic extension. Then $\text{Aut}(L/K) \cong G(C_K)$ for G a linear algebraic group defined over C_K .

Let $L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle$. We have $K \subseteq K(C_L) \subseteq L$. Note $K(C_L) \subseteq L$ is PV.

Theorem, (Epstein 1955b): Theorem 9.

If C_L/C_K is Galois then F is fixed iff F' (smallest intermediate field of $L/K(C_L)$ containing F) is fixed under the direct Galois correspondence and is Galois over F .

PV extensions with new algebraic constants

Theorem (M. 2025), Generalizes (Epstein 1955b): Theorem 1.

Let L/K be generated by a fundamental system with C_L/C_K a finite algebraic extension. Then $\text{Aut}(L/K) \cong G(C_K)$ for G a linear algebraic group defined over C_K .

Let $L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle$. We have $K \subseteq K(C_L) \subseteq L$. Note $K(C_L) \subseteq L$ is PV.

Theorem, (Epstein 1955b): Theorem 9.

If C_L/C_K is Galois then F is fixed iff F' (smallest intermediate field of $L/K(C_L)$ containing F) is fixed under the direct Galois correspondence and is Galois over F .

Proposition (M. 2025).

Moreover F' is fixed under the direct correspondence if and only if $H_{F'}(C_L)$ is Zariski dense in $H_{F'}$.

Internality in DCF_0

Let $T = \text{DCF}_0$. Let \mathcal{U} be a monster model of DCF_0 with constant field \mathcal{C} .

Internality in DCF_0

Let $T = \text{DCF}_0$. Let \mathcal{U} be a monster model of DCF_0 with constant field \mathcal{C} . Let K be a differential field. Let Y and X be K -definable sets.

Internality in DCF_0

Let $T = \text{DCF}_0$. Let \mathcal{U} be a monster model of DCF_0 with constant field \mathcal{C} . Let K be a differential field. Let Y and X be K -definable sets.

We say Y is internal to X if $Y \subseteq \text{dcl}(K, X, B)$ for some small set of parameters B .

Internality in DCF_0

Let $T = \text{DCF}_0$. Let \mathcal{U} be a monster model of DCF_0 with constant field \mathcal{C} . Let K be a differential field. Let Y and X be K -definable sets.

We say Y is internal to X if $Y \subseteq \text{dcl}(K, X, B)$ for some small set of parameters B . By compactness and a standard coding trick there is a K -definable function and a tuple \bar{b} from B^n such that $f(\bar{b}, \bar{x}) : X^n \rightarrow Y$ is surjective.

Internality in DCF_0

Let $T = \text{DCF}_0$. Let \mathcal{U} be a monster model of DCF_0 with constant field \mathcal{C} . Let K be a differential field. Let Y and X be K -definable sets.

We say Y is internal to X if $Y \subseteq \text{dcl}(K, X, B)$ for some small set of parameters B . By compactness and a standard coding trick there is a K -definable function and a tuple \bar{b} from B^n such that $f(\bar{b}, \bar{x}) : X^n \rightarrow Y$ is surjective.

By definability of types in DCF_0 we can replace \bar{b} with a tuple of elements of Y^n which we call a fundamental system for Y .

Internality in DCF_0

Let $T = \text{DCF}_0$. Let \mathcal{U} be a monster model of DCF_0 with constant field \mathcal{C} . Let K be a differential field. Let Y and X be K -definable sets.

We say Y is internal to X if $Y \subseteq \text{dcl}(K, X, B)$ for some small set of parameters B . By compactness and a standard coding trick there is a K -definable function and a tuple \bar{b} from B^n such that $f(\bar{b}, \bar{x}) : X^n \rightarrow Y$ is surjective.

By definability of types in DCF_0 we can replace \bar{b} with a tuple of elements of Y^n which we call a fundamental system for Y .

The set of such fundamental systems Z is K -definable. Following some replacements in notation $f(b, \bar{x}) : X_1 \rightarrow Z$ is a bijection for any $b \in Z$. $X_1 \subseteq (X^n)^{\text{eq}}$.

Internality in DCF_0

Let $T = \text{DCF}_0$. Let \mathcal{U} be a monster model of DCF_0 with constant field \mathcal{C} . Let K be a differential field. Let Y and X be K -definable sets.

We say Y is internal to X if $Y \subseteq \text{dcl}(K, X, B)$ for some small set of parameters B . By compactness and a standard coding trick there is a K -definable function and a tuple \bar{b} from B^n such that $f(\bar{b}, \bar{x}) : X^n \rightarrow Y$ is surjective.

By definability of types in DCF_0 we can replace \bar{b} with a tuple of elements of Y^n which we call a fundamental system for Y .

The set of such fundamental systems Z is K -definable. Following some replacements in notation $f(b, \bar{x}) : X_1 \rightarrow Z$ is a bijection for any $b \in Z$. $X_1 \subseteq (X^n)^{\text{eq}}$.

We can define a definable groupoid action living on Z and X_1 as follows.

Hrushovski (2004): Binding groupoid

For any $b \in Z$, $\text{tp}(b/K, X)$ is isolated and definable over a finite tuple \bar{d} from X .

Hrushovski (2004): Binding groupoid

For any $b \in Z$, $\text{tp}(b/K, X)$ is isolated and definable over a finite tuple \bar{d} from X . Let $Q_{\bar{d}}$ be the set of realizations of the type.

Hrushovski (2004): Binding groupoid

For any $b \in Z$, $\text{tp}(b/K, X)$ is isolated and definable over a finite tuple \bar{d} from X . Let $Q_{\bar{d}}$ be the set of realizations of the type. There is a $\text{dcl}(K, \bar{d})$ -definable group $H_{\bar{d}} \subset X_1$ acting on $Q_{\bar{d}}$ on the right freely and transitively.

Hrushovski (2004): Binding groupoid

For any $b \in Z$, $\text{tp}(b/K, X)$ is isolated and definable over a finite tuple \bar{d} from X . Let $Q_{\bar{d}}$ be the set of realizations of the type. There is a $\text{dcl}(K, \bar{d})$ -definable group $H_{\bar{d}} \subset X_1$ acting on $Q_{\bar{d}}$ on the right freely and transitively.

For any distinct types p_1 and p_2 of fund. sys. over $\text{dcl}(K, X)$, and associated tuples \bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2 , we define

$$H_{\bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2} = \{c \in X_1 : f(b_1, c) = b_2, b_1 \models p_1, b_2 \models p_2\}$$

HRUSHOVSKI (2004): Binding groupoid

For any $b \in Z$, $\text{tp}(b/K, X)$ is isolated and definable over a finite tuple \bar{d} from X . Let $Q_{\bar{d}}$ be the set of realizations of the type. There is a $\text{dcl}(K, \bar{d})$ -definable group $H_{\bar{d}} \subset X_1$ acting on $Q_{\bar{d}}$ on the right freely and transitively.

For any distinct types p_1 and p_2 of fund. sys. over $\text{dcl}(K, X)$, and associated tuples \bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2 , we define

$$H_{\bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2} = \{c \in X_1 : f(b_1, c) = b_2, b_1 \models p_1, b_2 \models p_2\}$$

Additionally, there is a K -definable group H^+ acting on the left on each $Q_{\bar{d}}$ isomorphically to the action of $\text{Aut}(Q_{\bar{d}}/\text{dcl}(K, X))$. Giving each triple $(H^+, Q_{\bar{d}}, H_{\bar{d}})$ the structure of a definable biPHS.

Hrushovski (2004): Binding groupoid

For any $b \in Z$, $\text{tp}(b/K, X)$ is isolated and definable over a finite tuple \bar{d} from X . Let $Q_{\bar{d}}$ be the set of realizations of the type. There is a $\text{dcl}(K, \bar{d})$ -definable group $H_{\bar{d}} \subset X_1$ acting on $Q_{\bar{d}}$ on the right freely and transitively.

For any distinct types p_1 and p_2 of fund. sys. over $\text{dcl}(K, X)$, and associated tuples \bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2 , we define

$$H_{\bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2} = \{c \in X_1 : f(b_1, c) = b_2, b_1 \models p_1, b_2 \models p_2\}$$

Additionally, there is a K -definable group H^+ acting on the left on each $Q_{\bar{d}}$ isomorphically to the action of $\text{Aut}(Q_{\bar{d}}/\text{dcl}(K, X))$. Giving each triple $(H^+, Q_{\bar{d}}, H_{\bar{d}})$ the structure of a definable biPHS.

Elements $b \in Q_{\bar{d}}(K^{\text{diff}})$, by the definitions, generate generalized strongly normal extensions exactly when $\bar{d} \in X(K)$. Then both H^+ and $H_{\bar{d}}$ are K -definable and are the intrinsic and extrinsic differential Galois groups of the extension L/K where $L = \text{dcl}(K, b) = K\langle b \rangle$.

Epstein's group in terms of the binding groupoid

An OHLDE Y is internal to the constants " $\delta(x) = 0$ ".

Epstein's group in terms of the binding groupoid

An OHLDE Y is internal to the constants " $\delta(x) = 0$ ".

In this case, $Z(K^{\text{diff}})$ is a right PHS for $X_1(K^{\text{diff}}) = GL_n(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})$

Epstein's group in terms of the binding groupoid

An OHLDE Y is internal to the constants " $\delta(x) = 0$ ".

In this case, $Z(K^{\text{diff}})$ is a right PHS for $X_1(K^{\text{diff}}) = GL_n(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})$

Let $L = K\langle b \rangle$ for $b \in Z(K^{\text{diff}})$ with $C_L = C_K(\bar{d})$ Galois over C_K . We can find such by Ep55a.

Epstein's group in terms of the binding groupoid

An OHLDE Y is internal to the constants " $\delta(x) = 0$ ".

In this case, $Z(K^{\text{diff}})$ is a right PHS for $X_1(K^{\text{diff}}) = GL_n(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})$

Let $L = K\langle b \rangle$ for $b \in Z(K^{\text{diff}})$ with $C_L = C_K(\bar{d})$ Galois over C_K . We can find such by Ep55a.

Let Λ be the set of Galois conjugates of \bar{d} and let H_0 be a C_K -definable finite group with $H_0(C_K) \cong \text{Gal}(C_L/C_K)$.

Epstein's group in terms of the binding groupoid

An OHLDE Y is internal to the constants " $\delta(x) = 0$ ".

In this case, $Z(K^{\text{diff}})$ is a right PHS for $X_1(K^{\text{diff}}) = GL_n(C_{K^{\text{diff}}})$

Let $L = K\langle b \rangle$ for $b \in Z(K^{\text{diff}})$ with $C_L = C_K(\bar{d})$ Galois over C_K . We can find such by Ep55a.

Let Λ be the set of Galois conjugates of \bar{d} and let H_0 be a C_K -definable finite group with $H_0(C_K) \cong \text{Gal}(C_L/C_K)$.

Theorem (M. 2025).

The group $G(C_K)$ from Ep55b can be recovered from the Galois groupoid:

$$G(C_K) \cong (\sqcup_{d_1, d_2 \in \Lambda} H_{d_1, d_2}(C_L) \times H_0(C_K), *_\bar{d})$$

defining $(\alpha_1, \beta_1) *_\bar{d} (\alpha_2, \beta_2) := (\alpha_1 \beta_1(\alpha_2), \beta_1 \beta_2)$.

Relationship to Umemura's quasi-automorphic extensions

Defn (Umemura 1996):

A finitely generated differential extension L/K in K^{diff} is called quasi-automorphic if $L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle$ for some $\bar{b} \in X(K^{\text{diff}})$ for X a K -definable right PHS for $G(C_K^{\text{diff}})$ an algebraic group defined over C_K .

Relationship to Umemura's quasi-automorphic extensions

Defn (Umemura 1996):

A finitely generated differential extension L/K in K^{diff} is called quasi-automorphic if $L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle$ for some $\bar{b} \in X(K^{\text{diff}})$ for X a K -definable right PHS for $G(C_K^{\text{diff}})$ an algebraic group defined over C_K .

Prop (Umemura 1996):

L/K is quasi-automorphic and $C_L = C_K$ iff K/L is strongly normal. [Easy to observe although not stated L/K is linear quasi-automorphic with $C_L = C_K$ iff L/K is PV.]

Relationship to Umemura's quasi-automorphic extensions

Defn (Umemura 1996):

A finitely generated differential extension L/K in K^{diff} is called quasi-automorphic if $L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle$ for some $\bar{b} \in X(K^{\text{diff}})$ for X a K -definable right PHS for $G(C_K^{\text{diff}})$ an algebraic group defined over C_K .

Prop (Umemura 1996):

L/K is quasi-automorphic and $C_L = C_K$ iff K/L is strongly normal. [Easy to observe although not stated L/K is linear quasi-automorphic with $C_L = C_K$ iff L/K is PV.]

Positing: L/K is linear quasi-automorphic with C_L/C_K Galois iff L/K is an extension from Epstein55a/b.

Relationship to Umemura's quasi-automorphic extensions

Defn (Umemura 1996):

A finitely generated differential extension L/K in K^{diff} is called quasi-automorphic if $L = K\langle \bar{b} \rangle$ for some $\bar{b} \in X(K^{\text{diff}})$ for X a K -definable right PHS for $G(C_K^{\text{diff}})$ an algebraic group defined over C_K .

Prop (Umemura 1996):

L/K is quasi-automorphic and $C_L = C_K$ iff K/L is strongly normal. [Easy to observe although not stated L/K is linear quasi-automorphic with $C_L = C_K$ iff L/K is PV.]

Positing: L/K is linear quasi-automorphic with C_L/C_K Galois iff L/K is an extension from Epstein55a/b.

Final Remarks. 1. The model (X, G) of a quasi-automorphic extension is not uniquely determined. 2. Umemura's automorphic extensions do not yet have a satisfactory model-theoretic definition, they generalize Hopf Galois extensions. 3. Umemura has a parameterized version.

Thank you!

Selected references

- ① (Epstein 1955a) M. P. Epstein. An Existence Theorem in the Algebraic Study of Homogeneous Linear Ordinary Differential Equations. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 6(1):33–41, 1955.
- ② (Epstein 1955b) M. P. Epstein. On the theory of Picard-Vessiot extensions. Annals of Mathematics, 62(3):528–547, 1955.
- ③ (Kolchin 1948a) E. R. Kolchin. Existence theorems connected with the Picard-Vessiot theory of homogeneous linear ordinary differential equations. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 54(10):927–932, 1948.
- ④ (Kolchin 1948b) E. R. Kolchin. Algebraic Matric Groups and the Picard-Vessiot Theory of Homogeneous Linear Ordinary Differential Equations. Annals of Mathematics, 49(1):1–42, 1948.
- ⑤ A. Seidenberg. Contribution to the Picard-Vessiot theory of homogeneous linear differential equations. American Journal of Mathematics, 78(4):808–818, 1956.
- ⑥ H. Umemura. Galois theory of algebraic and differential equations. Nagoya Mathematical Journal, 144:1-58, 1996.