

A uniqueness condition for composition analyses

Christine Eagles

University of Waterloo

May 14, 2025

Assumptions

We work in a stable theory T eliminating imaginaries and assume all types are of finite U -rank.

Assumptions

We work in a stable theory T eliminating imaginaries and assume all types are of finite U -rank.

Fix $\mathcal{U} \models T$, a sufficiently saturated model.

Assumptions

We work in a stable theory T eliminating imaginaries and assume all types are of finite U -rank.

Fix $\mathcal{U} \models T$, a sufficiently saturated model.

We will always assume $A < \mathcal{U}$ is a small subset of parameters and that $A = \text{acl}(A)$.

Goal

For any finite rank stationary type $p \in S(A)$, we can break it down into a collection of minimal types.

Goal

For any finite rank stationary type $p \in S(A)$, we can break it down into a collection of minimal types.

Definition

A **semi-minimal analysis** for p is a sequence of A -definable maps

$$p = p_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_1} p_1 \xrightarrow{f_0} \bullet$$

such that:

- ① $U(p_{i+1}) > U(p_i)$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, and
- ② For all $i = 0, \dots, n$, every fibre is almost internal to a minimal type.

Definition

A stationary type $p \in S(A)$ is **almost internal** to a minimal type $r \in S(B)$ if there exists $C \supseteq A \cup B$, $a \models p|_C$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \models r|_C$ such that $a \in \text{acl}(Cc_1, \dots, c_n)$.

Goal

For any finite rank stationary type $p \in S(A)$, we can break it down into a collection of minimal types.

Definition

A **semi-minimal analysis** for p is a sequence of A -definable maps

$$p = p_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_1} p_1 \xrightarrow{f_0} \bullet$$

such that:

- ① $U(p_{i+1}) > U(p_i)$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, and
- ② For all $i = 0, \dots, n$, every fibre is almost internal to a minimal type.

Definition

A stationary type $p \in S(A)$ is **almost internal** to a minimal type $r \in S(B)$ if there exists $C \supseteq A \cup B$, $a \models p|_C$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \models r|_C$ such that $a \in \text{acl}(Cc_1, \dots, c_n)$.

Are these minimal types "unique"? Do they depend on the analysis?

Fibrations

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ stationary and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be an A -definable map.

Definition

- A **fibre** of $f : p \rightarrow q$ is a type of the form $\text{tp}(a/Af(a))$ for $a \models p$.

Fibrations

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ stationary and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be an A -definable map.

Definition

- A **fibre** of $f : p \rightarrow q$ is a type of the form $\text{tp}(a/Af(a))$ for $a \models p$.
- $f : p \rightarrow q$ is a **fibration** if every (equivalently some) fibre of f is **stationary** i.e. for every (some) $a \models p$ there is a unique non-forking extension of $\text{tp}(a/Af(a))$ to $\text{tp}(a/\text{acl}(Af(a)))$.

Fibrations

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ stationary and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be an A -definable map.

Definition

- A **fibre** of $f : p \rightarrow q$ is a type of the form $\text{tp}(a/Af(a))$ for $a \models p$.
- $f : p \rightarrow q$ is a **fibration** if every (equivalently some) fibre of f is **stationary** i.e. for every (some) $a \models p$ there is a unique non-forking extension of $\text{tp}(a/Af(a))$ to $\text{tp}(a/\text{acl}(Af(a)))$.

Definition

Let $p \in S(A), q \in S(B)$ be stationary. We say p is **orthogonal** to q denoted $p \perp q$ if for all $C \supseteq A \cup B$, $a \models p|_C$, $b \models q|_C$, $a \downarrow_C b$.

Example

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \perp q$. Recall, $p \otimes q = \text{tp}(ab/A)$ for any $a \models p$ and $b \models q$.

Fibrations

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ stationary and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be an A -definable map.

Definition

- A **fibre** of $f : p \rightarrow q$ is a type of the form $\text{tp}(a/Af(a))$ for $a \models p$.
- $f : p \rightarrow q$ is a **fibration** if every (equivalently some) fibre of f is **stationary** i.e. for every (some) $a \models p$ there is a unique non-forking extension of $\text{tp}(a/Af(a))$ to $\text{tp}(a/\text{acl}(Af(a)))$.

Definition

Let $p \in S(A), q \in S(B)$ be stationary. We say p is **orthogonal** to q denoted $p \perp q$ if for all $C \supseteq A \cup B$, $a \models p|_C$, $b \models q|_C$, $a \perp_C b$.

Example

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \perp q$. Recall, $p \otimes q = \text{tp}(ab/A)$ for any $a \models p$ and $b \models q$.

The projection map $\pi_p : p \otimes q \rightarrow p$ is a fibration and for $(a, b) \models p \otimes q$, the fibre is $q|_a$.

No proper fibrations

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ stationary and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be a fibration over A .

Definition

An A -definable map $f : p \rightarrow q$ is a **fibration** if every fibre of f is stationary.

Definition

- A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is **finite-to-one** if for every $a \models p$, $a \in \text{acl}(Af(a))$.
- A type $p \in S(A)$ admits **no proper fibrations** if and only if for every fibration over A $f : p \rightarrow q$ either q is algebraic or f is finite-to-one.

No proper fibrations

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ stationary and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be a fibration over A .

Definition

An A -definable map $f : p \rightarrow q$ is a **fibration** if every fibre of f is stationary.

Definition

- A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is **finite-to-one** if for every $a \models p$, $a \in \text{acl}(Af(a))$.
- A type $p \in S(A)$ admits **no proper fibrations** if and only if for every fibration over A $f : p \rightarrow q$ either q is algebraic or f is finite-to-one.

Example

Every minimal type admits no proper fibrations.

No proper fibrations

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ stationary and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be a fibration over A .

Definition

An A -definable map $f : p \rightarrow q$ is a **fibration** if every fibre of f is stationary.

Definition

- A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is **finite-to-one** if for every $a \models p$, $a \in \text{acl}(Af(a))$.
- A type $p \in S(A)$ admits **no proper fibrations** if and only if for every fibration over A $f : p \rightarrow q$ either q is algebraic or f is finite-to-one.

Example

Every minimal type admits no proper fibrations.

If $U(p) = 1$, $f : p \rightarrow q$ is a fibration then either $U(q) = 0$ in which case q is algebraic or $U(q) = 1$. In this case p and q are interalgebraic over A .

No proper fibrations

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ stationary and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be a fibration over A .

Definition

An A -definable map $f : p \rightarrow q$ is a **fibration** if every fibre of f is stationary.

Definition

- A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is **finite-to-one** if for every $a \models p$, $a \in \text{acl}(Af(a))$.
- A type $p \in S(A)$ admits **no proper fibrations** if and only if for every fibration over A $f : p \rightarrow q$ either q is algebraic or f is finite-to-one.

Example

Every minimal type admits no proper fibrations.

If $U(p) = 1$, $f : p \rightarrow q$ is a fibration then either $U(q) = 0$ in which case q is algebraic or $U(q) = 1$. In this case p and q are interalgebraic over A .

Not every type admitting no proper fibrations is minimal!

No proper fibrations and internality

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ stationary and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be a fibration over A .

Definition

- A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is **finite-to-one** if for every $a \models p$, $a \in \text{acl}(Af(a))$.
- A type p admits **no proper fibrations** if and only if for every fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ either q is algebraic or f is finite-to-one.

Fact

[2, Proposition 2.3] Suppose $p \in S(A)$ is a stationary non-algebraic type of finite U -rank that admits no proper fibrations. Then p is almost internal to a minimal type $r \in (B)$.

Indecomposable fibrations

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be a fibration over A with $U(p) > U(q)$.

Definition

- A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is **finite-to-one** if for every $a \models p$, $a \in \text{acl}(Af(a))$.
- A type p has **no proper fibrations** if and only if for every fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ either q is algebraic or f is finite-to-one.

Definition

A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is **indecomposable** if whenever we have fibrations $g : p \rightarrow r$, $h : r \rightarrow q$ are fibrations with $r \in S(A)$ and $f = h \circ g$, either g or h is finite-to-one.

Indecomposable fibrations

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be a fibration over A with $U(p) > U(q)$.

Definition

- A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is **finite-to-one** if for every $a \models p$, $a \in \text{acl}(Af(a))$.
- A type p has **no proper fibrations** if and only if for every fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ either q is algebraic or f is finite-to-one.

Definition

A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is **indecomposable** if whenever we have fibrations $g : p \rightarrow r$, $h : r \rightarrow q$ are fibrations with $r \in S(A)$ and $f = h \circ g$, either g or h is finite-to-one.

Lemma

A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is indecomposable if and only if for some (any) $a \models p$, the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ admits no proper fibrations.

Indecomposable fibrations

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be a fibration over A with $U(p) > U(q)$.

Definition

- A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is **finite-to-one** if for every $a \models p$, $a \in \text{acl}(Af(a))$.
- A type p has **no proper fibrations** if and only if for every fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ either q is algebraic or f is finite-to-one.

Definition

A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is **indecomposable** if whenever we have fibrations $g : p \rightarrow r$, $h : r \rightarrow q$ are fibrations with $r \in S(A)$ and $f = h \circ g$, either g or h is finite-to-one.

Lemma

A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is indecomposable if and only if for some (any) $a \models p$, the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ admits no proper fibrations.

So f indecomposable \implies each fibre is almost internal to a minimal type.

Indecomposable fibrations

Lemma

A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is indecomposable if and only if for some (any) $a \models p$ the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ admits no proper fibrations.

Indecomposable fibrations

Lemma

A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is indecomposable if and only if for some (any) $a \models p$ the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ admits no proper fibrations.

Example

Let $r, s \in S(A)$ be two minimal types and $r \perp s$.

Indecomposable fibrations

Lemma

A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is indecomposable if and only if for some (any) $a \models p$ the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ admits no proper fibrations.

Example

Let $r, s \in S(A)$ be two minimal types and $r \perp s$.

- The projection map $\pi_s : r \otimes s \rightarrow s$ is a fibration and for all $(a, b) \models r \otimes s$, the fibre is $\text{tp}(ab/bA) = \text{tp}(a/bA) = r|_b$.

Indecomposable fibrations

Lemma

A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is indecomposable if and only if for some (any) $a \models p$ the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ admits no proper fibrations.

Example

Let $r, s \in S(A)$ be two minimal types and $r \perp s$.

- The projection map $\pi_s : r \otimes s \rightarrow s$ is a fibration and for all $(a, b) \models r \otimes s$, the fibre is $\text{tp}(ab/bA) = \text{tp}(a/bA) = r|_b$.
- For all $b \models s$, $r|_b$ is minimal

Indecomposable fibrations

Lemma

A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is indecomposable if and only if for some (any) $a \models p$ the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ admits no proper fibrations.

Example

Let $r, s \in S(A)$ be two minimal types and $r \perp s$.

- The projection map $\pi_s : r \otimes s \rightarrow s$ is a fibration and for all $(a, b) \models r \otimes s$, the fibre is $\text{tp}(ab/bA) = \text{tp}(a/bA) = r|_b$.
- For all $b \models s$, $r|_b$ is minimal $\implies \pi_s$ is **indecomposable**

Indecomposable fibrations

Lemma

A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is indecomposable if and only if for some (any) $a \models p$ the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ admits no proper fibrations.

Example

Let $r, s \in S(A)$ be two minimal types and $r \perp s$.

- The projection map $\pi_s : r \otimes s \rightarrow s$ is a fibration and for all $(a, b) \models r \otimes s$, the fibre is $\text{tp}(ab/bA) = \text{tp}(a/bA) = r|_b$.
- For all $b \models s$, $r|_b$ is minimal $\implies \pi_s$ is **indecomposable**
- Fix $c \in A$. The map $f_s : s \rightarrow \bullet$ given by $f_s(b) = c$ for all $b \models q$. f_s is a fibration with a single fibre $\text{tp}(b/cA) = \text{tp}(b/A) = s$

Indecomposable fibrations

Lemma

A fibration $f : p \rightarrow q$ is indecomposable if and only if for some (any) $a \models p$ the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ admits no proper fibrations.

Example

Let $r, s \in S(A)$ be two minimal types and $r \perp s$.

- The projection map $\pi_s : r \otimes s \rightarrow s$ is a fibration and for all $(a, b) \models r \otimes s$, the fibre is $\text{tp}(ab/bA) = \text{tp}(a/bA) = r|_b$.
- For all $b \models s$, $r|_b$ is minimal $\implies \pi_s$ is **indecomposable**
- Fix $c \in A$. The map $f_s : s \rightarrow \bullet$ given by $f_s(b) = c$ for all $b \models s$. f_s is a fibration with a single fibre $\text{tp}(b/cA) = \text{tp}(b/A) = s \implies f_s$ is **indecomposable**

Composition analysis

Definition

Let $p \in S(A)$ be a stationary type of finite U -rank. A **composition analysis** for p is a sequence of fibrations over A

$$p = p_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_1} p_1 \xrightarrow{f_0} \bullet$$

such that

- ① $U(p_{i+1}) > U(p_i)$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, and
- ② $f_i : p_{i+1} \rightarrow p_i$ is indecomposable for all $i = 0, \dots, n$.

Composition analysis

Definition

Let $p \in S(A)$ be a stationary type of finite U -rank. A **composition analysis** for p is a sequence of fibrations over A

$$p = p_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_1} p_1 \xrightarrow{f_0} \bullet$$

such that

- ① $U(p_{i+1}) > U(p_i)$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, and \rightarrow fibres are non algebraic and sequence terminates
- ② $f_i : p_{i+1} \rightarrow p_i$ is indecomposable for all $i = 0, \dots, n$. \rightarrow ensures that the fibres admit no proper fibrations

Composition analysis

Definition

Let $p \in S(A)$ be a stationary type of finite U -rank. A **composition analysis** for p is a sequence of fibrations over A

$$p = p_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_1} p_1 \xrightarrow{f_0} \bullet$$

such that

- ① $U(p_{i+1}) > U(p_i)$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, and \rightarrow fibres are non algebraic and sequence terminates
- ② $f_i : p_{i+1} \rightarrow p_i$ is indecomposable for all $i = 0, \dots, n$. \rightarrow ensures that the fibres admit no proper fibrations \implies the fibres of f_i are all **almost internal** to some minimal type

Composition analysis

Definition

Let $p \in S(A)$ be a stationary type of finite U -rank. A **composition analysis** for p is a sequence of fibrations over A

$$p = p_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_1} p_1 \xrightarrow{f_0} \bullet$$

such that

- ① $U(p_{i+1}) > U(p_i)$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, and \rightarrow fibres are non algebraic and sequence terminates
- ② $f_i : p_{i+1} \rightarrow p_i$ is indecomposable for all $i = 0, \dots, n$. \rightarrow ensures that the fibres admit no proper fibrations \implies the fibres of f_i are all **almost internal** to some minimal type

Every stationary type of finite U -rank has a composition analysis.

Composition analysis

Definition

Let $p \in S(A)$ be a stationary type of finite U -rank. A **composition analysis** for p is a sequence of fibrations over A

$$p = p_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_1} p_1 \xrightarrow{f_0} \bullet$$

such that

- ① $U(p_{i+1}) > U(p_i)$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, and
- ② $f_i : p_{i+1} \rightarrow p_i$ is indecomposable for all $i = 0, \dots, n$.

Example

- $r \otimes s \xrightarrow{\pi_s} s \xrightarrow{f_s} \bullet$ is a composition analysis.

Composition analysis

Definition

Let $p \in S(A)$ be a stationary type of finite U -rank. A **composition analysis** for p is a sequence of fibrations over A

$$p = p_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_1} p_1 \xrightarrow{f_0} \bullet$$

such that

- ① $U(p_{i+1}) > U(p_i)$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, and
- ② $f_i : p_{i+1} \rightarrow p_i$ is indecomposable for all $i = 0, \dots, n$.

Example

- $r \otimes s \xrightarrow{\pi_s} s \xrightarrow{f_s} \bullet$ is a composition analysis.
- $r \otimes s \xrightarrow{\pi_r} r \xrightarrow{f_r} \bullet$ is another composition analysis.

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

Example

Let $r, s \in S(A)$ be two minimal types and $r \perp s$.

- The projection map $\pi_s : r \otimes s \rightarrow s$ is a fibration and for every $(a, b) \models r \otimes s$, the fibre is $\text{tp}(ab/bA) = \text{tp}(a/bA) = r|_b$.

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

Example

Let $r, s \in S(A)$ be two minimal types and $r \perp s$.

- The projection map $\pi_s : r \otimes s \rightarrow s$ is a fibration and for every $(a, b) \models r \otimes s$, the fibre is $\text{tp}(ab/bA) = \text{tp}(a/bA) = r|_b$.
- In this case, $r|_b(\mathcal{U}) = r(\mathcal{U})$ so really each fibre is almost r -internal.

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

Example

Let $r, s \in S(A)$ be two minimal types and $r \perp s$.

- The projection map $\pi_s : r \otimes s \rightarrow s$ is a fibration and for every $(a, b) \models r \otimes s$, the fibre is $\text{tp}(ab/bA) = \text{tp}(a/bA) = r|_b$.
 - In this case, $r|_b(\mathcal{U}) = r(\mathcal{U})$ so really each fibre is almost r -internal.
-
- Let $a, a' \models p$ be distinct and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be a fibration over A .
 - In general, it is possible the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to a minimal $r \in S(B)$ and $\text{tp}(a'/f(a')A)$ is almost internal to a minimal $r' \in S(B')$ with $B \neq B'$.

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

Example

Let $r, s \in S(A)$ be two minimal types and $r \perp s$.

- The projection map $\pi_s : r \otimes s \rightarrow s$ is a fibration and for every $(a, b) \models r \otimes s$, the fibre is $\text{tp}(ab/bA) = \text{tp}(a/bA) = r|_b$.
- In this case, $r|_b(\mathcal{U}) = r(\mathcal{U})$ so really each fibre is almost r -internal.
- Let $a, a' \models p$ be distinct and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be a fibration over A .
- In general, it is possible the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to a minimal $r \in S(B)$ and $\text{tp}(a'/f(a')A)$ is almost internal to a minimal $r' \in S(B')$ with $B \neq B'$.
- So a minimal type appearing in a composition analysis might depend on the choice of a fibre!

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

Example

Let $r, s \in S(A)$ be two minimal types and $r \perp s$.

- The projection map $\pi_s : r \otimes s \rightarrow s$ is a fibration and for every $(a, b) \models r \otimes s$, the fibre is $\text{tp}(ab/bA) = \text{tp}(a/bA) = r|_b$.
- In this case, $r|_b(\mathcal{U}) = r(\mathcal{U})$ so really each fibre is almost r -internal.
- Let $a, a' \models p$ be distinct and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be a fibration over A .
- In general, it is possible the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to a minimal $r \in S(B)$ and $\text{tp}(a'/f(a')A)$ is almost internal to a minimal $r' \in S(B')$ with $B \neq B'$.
- So a minimal type appearing in a composition analysis might depend on the choice of a fibre!
- Also, is dependent on the choice of r to witness that $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to a minimal type!

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

Example

Let $r, s \in S(A)$ be two minimal types and $r \perp s$.

- The projection map $\pi_s : r \otimes s \rightarrow s$ is a fibration and for every $(a, b) \models r \otimes s$, the fibre is $\text{tp}(ab/bA) = \text{tp}(a/bA) = r|_b$.
- In this case, $r|_b(\mathcal{U}) = r(\mathcal{U})$ so really each fibre is almost r -internal.
- Let $a, a' \models p$ be distinct and $f : p \rightarrow q$ be a fibration over A .
- In general, it is possible the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to a minimal $r \in S(B)$ and $\text{tp}(a'/f(a')A)$ is almost internal to a minimal $r' \in S(B')$ with $B \neq B'$.
- So a minimal type appearing in a composition analysis might depend on the choice of a fibre!
- Also, is dependent on the choice of r to witness that $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to a minimal type!
- But we can get uniqueness up to non-orthogonality of families of minimal types.

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

Definition

- Let $B \supseteq A$, $r \in S(B)$ be minimal. By an A -**conjugate** of r we mean a type r^τ , which is obtained by applying some $\tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})$ to the formulas in r .

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

Definition

- Let $B \supseteq A$, $r \in S(B)$ be minimal. By an **A-conjugate** of r we mean a type r^τ , which is obtained by applying some $\tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})$ to the formulas in r .
- The **set of all A-conjugates** of $r \in S(B)$ is $\mathcal{R} = \{r^\tau \mid \tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})\}$.

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

Definition

- Let $B \supseteq A$, $r \in S(B)$ be minimal. By an **A-conjugate** of r we mean a type r^τ , which is obtained by applying some $\tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})$ to the formulas in r .
- The **set of all A-conjugates** of $r \in S(B)$ is $\mathcal{R} = \{r^\tau \mid \tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})\}$.
- A family of types \mathcal{R} is **A-invariant** if for every $\tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})$, whenever $r \in \mathcal{R}$, then $r^\tau \in \mathcal{R}$.

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

Definition

- Let $B \supseteq A$, $r \in S(B)$ be minimal. By an **A -conjugate** of r we mean a type r^τ , which is obtained by applying some $\tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})$ to the formulas in r .
- The **set of all A -conjugates** of $r \in S(B)$ is $\mathcal{R} = \{r^\tau \mid \tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})\}$.
- A family of types \mathcal{R} is **A -invariant** if for every $\tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})$, whenever $r \in \mathcal{R}$, then $r^\tau \in \mathcal{R}$.
- [1, Lemma 5.4] A type $p \in S(A)$ is **almost internal** to an A -invariant family of A -conjugates of a minimal type $r \in S(B)$ if and only if p is almost r -internal.

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

- Let $f : p \rightarrow q$ be an indecomposable fibration. Fix $a \models p$. We know the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to some minimal type $r_{f(a)} \in S(B_{f(a)})$ with $B_{f(a)} \supseteq f(a)A$.

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

- Let $f : p \rightarrow q$ be an indecomposable fibration. Fix $a \models p$. We know the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to some minimal type $r_{f(a)} \in S(B_{f(a)})$ with $B_{f(a)} \supseteq f(a)A$.
- We denote $\min(f)$ to be the set of all A -conjugates of $r_{f(a)}$, i.e.

$$\min(f) = \{r_{f(a)}^\tau \mid \tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})\}.$$

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

- Let $f : p \rightarrow q$ be an indecomposable fibration. Fix $a \models p$. We know the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to some minimal type $r_{f(a)} \in S(B_{f(a)})$ with $B_{f(a)} \supseteq f(a)A$.
- We denote $\min(f)$ to be the set of all A -conjugates of $r_{f(a)}$, i.e.

$$\min(f) = \{r_{f(a)}^\tau \mid \tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})\}.$$

- The family $\min(f)$ is **well-defined** up to non-orthogonality

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

- Let $f : p \rightarrow q$ be an indecomposable fibration. Fix $a \models p$. We know the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to some minimal type $r_{f(a)} \in S(B_{f(a)})$ with $B_{f(a)} \supseteq f(a)A$.
- We denote $\min(f)$ to be the set of all A -conjugates of $r_{f(a)}$, i.e.

$$\min(f) = \{r_{f(a)}^\tau \mid \tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})\}.$$

- The family $\min(f)$ is **well-defined** up to non-orthogonality (independent of the choice of $a \models p$ and $r_{f(a)}$ witnessing $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to a minimal type).

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

- Let $f : p \rightarrow q$ be an indecomposable fibration. Fix $a \models p$. We know the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to some minimal type $r_{f(a)} \in S(B_{f(a)})$ with $B_{f(a)} \supseteq f(a)A$.
- We denote $\min(f)$ to be the set of all A -conjugates of $r_{f(a)}$, i.e.

$$\min(f) = \{r_{f(a)}^\tau \mid \tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})\}.$$

- The family $\min(f)$ is **well-defined** up to non-orthogonality (independent of the choice of $a \models p$ and $r_{f(a)}$ witnessing $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to a minimal type).

Definition

Let \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} be two families of all A -conjugates of minimal types r and s , respectively. Then $\mathcal{R} \not\perp \mathcal{S}$ if $r \not\perp s$.

What minimal types appear in a composition analysis?

- Let $f : p \rightarrow q$ be an indecomposable fibration. Fix $a \models p$. We know the fibre $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to some minimal type $r_{f(a)} \in S(B_{f(a)})$ with $B_{f(a)} \supseteq f(a)A$.
- We denote $\min(f)$ to be the set of all A -conjugates of $r_{f(a)}$, i.e.

$$\min(f) = \{r_{f(a)}^\tau \mid \tau \in \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{U})\}.$$

- The family $\min(f)$ is **well-defined** up to non-orthogonality (independent of the choice of $a \models p$ and $r_{f(a)}$ witnessing $\text{tp}(a/f(a)A)$ is almost internal to a minimal type).

Definition

Let \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} be two families of all A -conjugates of minimal types r and s , respectively. Then $\mathcal{R} \not\perp \mathcal{S}$ if $r \not\perp s$.

- In our example, $\min(\pi_s) = \mathcal{R} \not\perp \{r\}$, $\min(f_s) = \{s\} \not\perp \mathcal{S}$

Uniqueness condition

Theorem

Let $p \in S(A)$ be a stationary type of finite U -rank. Let

$$p \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_1} p_1 \xrightarrow{f_0} \bullet$$

be a composition analysis for p . Then for any other composition analysis,

$$p \xrightarrow{g_m} q_m \xrightarrow{g_{m-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{g_1} q_1 \xrightarrow{g_0} \bullet$$

for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, there exists $j = 0, \dots, m$ such that $\min(f_i) \not\leq \min(g_j)$.

Uniqueness condition

Theorem

Let $p \in S(A)$ be a stationary type of finite U -rank. Let

$$p \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_1} p_1 \xrightarrow{f_0} \bullet$$

be a composition analysis for p . Then for any other composition analysis,

$$p \xrightarrow{g_m} q_m \xrightarrow{g_{m-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{g_1} q_1 \xrightarrow{g_0} \bullet$$

for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, there exists $j = 0, \dots, m$ such that $\min(f_i) \not\subseteq \min(g_j)$.

Definition

Let $p \in S(A)$ be a stationary type of finite U -rank. Define $\min(p) = \min(f_n) \cup \cdots \cup \min(f_0)$ for some (any) composition analysis

$$p \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow p_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \bullet.$$

Defining $\min(p)$

Definition

Let $p \in S(A)$ be a stationary type of finite U -rank. Define $\min(p) = \min(f_n) \cup \dots \cup \min(f_0)$ for some (any) composition analysis $p \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow p_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \bullet$.

Example

- $\min(r \otimes s) = \min(\pi_s) \cup \min(s \rightarrow \bullet) = \mathcal{R} \cup \{s\}$ where \mathcal{R} was the set of A -conjugates of $r|_b$ for some $b \models s$.

Defining $\min(p)$

Definition

Let $p \in S(A)$ be a stationary type of finite U -rank. Define $\min(p) = \min(f_n) \cup \dots \cup \min(f_0)$ for some (any) composition analysis $p \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow p_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \bullet$.

Example

- $\min(r \otimes s) = \min(\pi_s) \cup \min(s \rightarrow \bullet) = \mathcal{R} \cup \{s\}$ where \mathcal{R} was the set of A -conjugates of $r|_b$ for some $b \models s$.
- Also, $\min(r \otimes s) = \min(\pi_r) \cup \min(r \rightarrow \bullet) = \mathcal{S} \cup \{r\}$ where \mathcal{S} was the set of A -conjugates of $s|_a$ for some $a \models r$.

Defining $\min(p)$

Definition

Let $p \in S(A)$ be a stationary type of finite U -rank. Define $\min(p) = \min(f_n) \cup \dots \cup \min(f_0)$ for some (any) composition analysis $p \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow p_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \bullet$.

Example

- $\min(r \otimes s) = \min(\pi_s) \cup \min(s \rightarrow \bullet) = \mathcal{R} \cup \{s\}$ where \mathcal{R} was the set of A -conjugates of $r|_b$ for some $b \models s$.
- Also, $\min(r \otimes s) = \min(\pi_r) \cup \min(r \rightarrow \bullet) = \mathcal{S} \cup \{r\}$ where \mathcal{S} was the set of A -conjugates of $s|_a$ for some $a \models r$.
- In our case, $\mathcal{R} \not\subseteq \{r\}$ and $\mathcal{S} \not\subseteq \{s\}$.

Defining $\min(p)$


Definition


Let $p \in S(A)$ be a stationary type of finite U -rank. Define $\min(p) = \min(f_n) \cup \dots \cup \min(f_0)$ for some (any) composition analysis $p \xrightarrow{f_n} p_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow p_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \bullet$.

Example

- $\min(r \otimes s) = \min(\pi_s) \cup \min(s \rightarrow \bullet) = \mathcal{R} \cup \{s\}$ where \mathcal{R} was the set of A -conjugates of $r|_b$ for some $b \models s$.
- Also, $\min(r \otimes s) = \min(\pi_r) \cup \min(r \rightarrow \bullet) = \mathcal{S} \cup \{r\}$ where \mathcal{S} was the set of A -conjugates of $s|_a$ for some $a \models r$.
- In our case, $\mathcal{R} \not\subseteq \{r\}$ and $\mathcal{S} \not\subseteq \{s\}$.
- Up to non-orthogonality, these agree and $\min(r \otimes s) = \{r\} \cup \{s\}$.

References

 J. Freitag, L. Jimenez, and R. Moosa.
Differential-algebraic permutation groups.
2023.

 R. Moosa and A. Pillay.
Some model theory of fibrations and algebraic reductions.
Selecta mathematica (Basel, Switzerland), 20(4):1067–1082, 2014.