

The topology of closure systems in algebraic lattices

NIELS SCHWARTZ

Let A be a ring, always commutative and unitary. The set $\mathcal{I}(A)$ of ideals

- is a **closure system** in $\mathfrak{P}(A)$, i.e., is closed in $\mathfrak{P}(A)$ under arbitrary infima (= intersections),
- and the closure system is **algebraic**, i.e., is closed in $\mathfrak{P}(A)$ under up-directed suprema (= unions).
- In particular, $\mathcal{I}(A)$ is a complete lattice.

Now let P be a complete lattice. Then:

- $\mathbb{K}(P)$ is the set of compact elements: $a \in P$ is **compact** if $a^\uparrow \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for each up-directed set $D \subseteq P$ with $a \leq \bigvee D$.
- $\mathbb{P}(P)$ is the set of prime elements: $a \in P$ is **prime** if $x \wedge y \leq a$ implies $x \leq a$ or $y \leq a$.

The bottom element \perp is always compact. There need not be any other compact elements. The top element \top is always prime, and there need not be any other prime elements.

- $\mathbb{K}(P)$ is a join semilattice. But in general $x, y \in \mathbb{K}(P)$ does not imply $x \wedge y \in \mathbb{K}(P)$.
- P is **arithmetic** if $\mathbb{K}(P)$ is a sublattice of P , i.e., $x, y \in \mathbb{K}(P) \Rightarrow x \wedge y \in \mathbb{K}(P)$.
- P is **coherent** if $\mathbb{K}(P)$ is a bounded sublattice of P .

Definition.

P is an **algebraic lattice** if $a = \bigvee a^\downarrow \cap \mathbb{K}(P)$ for each $a \in P$.

Recall that every subset $X \subseteq P$ generates a closure system, whose elements are the infima of subsets of X . This closure system is denoted by $\langle X \rangle_P$.

Fact.

An algebraic lattice P is a frame if and only if it is distributive, if and only if $P = \langle \mathbb{P}(P) \rangle_P$.

Returning to the lattice $\mathcal{I}(A)$ one checks easily:

- $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{I}(A))$ is the set of finitely generated ideals. Hence:
- $\mathcal{I}(A)$ is an algebraic lattice.

An ideal $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft A$ is **strongly irreducible** if $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ always implies $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ or $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{I}(A)$. Thus: $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{I}(A))$ is the set of strongly irreducible ideals.

- $\text{Spec}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{I}(A))$. Frequently the inclusion is proper: Let A be a nontrivial valuation ring. Then $\mathcal{I}(A)$ is totally ordered, hence every ideal is strongly irreducible. But most ideals are not prime.
- $\mathcal{I}(A)$ is always a modular lattice. The ring is called **arithmetic** if $\mathcal{I}(A)$ is distributive, i.e., if every ideal is an intersection of strongly irreducible ideals.
- A domain is arithmetic if and only if it is a Prüfer domain. Thus the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[S]$ is arithmetic, whereas $\mathbb{Q}[S, T]$ is not arithmetic.

Continuing with a ring A , consider a subset $X \subseteq \text{Spec}(A)$. For $a \in A$ we define

- the X -**zero set** $Z_X(a) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in X \mid a \in \mathfrak{p}\}$ and
- the X -**cozero set** $\text{Coz}_X(a) = X \setminus Z_X(a)$.

If $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ we follow tradition and write $V(a) = Z_{\text{Spec}(A)}(a)$ and $D(a) = \text{Spec}(A) \setminus V(a)$.

Definition 1.

An ideal $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft A$ is a

- (a) **vanishing ideal for X** if $\bigcap_{a \in \mathfrak{a}} Z_X(a) \subseteq Z_X(c)$ with $c \in A$ implies $c \in \mathfrak{a}$;
- (b) **large z -ideal for X** if $\bigcap_{a \in F} Z_X(a) \subseteq Z_X(c)$ implies $c \in \mathfrak{a}$, where $F \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ is finite and $c \in A$;
- (c) **small z -ideal for X** if $Z_X(a) \subseteq Z_X(c)$ implies $c \in \mathfrak{a}$, where $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $c \in A$.

The sets of vanishing ideals, large z -ideals and small z -ideals are denoted by $\text{v}\mathcal{I}(A, X)$, $\text{Z}\mathcal{I}(A, X)$ and $\text{z}\mathcal{I}(A, X)$. Elementary facts:

- $\text{v}\mathcal{I}(A, X) \subseteq \text{Z}\mathcal{I}(A, X) \subseteq \text{z}\mathcal{I}(A, X)$, where both inclusions are proper in general.
- $\text{v}\mathcal{I}(A, X), \text{Z}\mathcal{I}(A, X), \text{z}\mathcal{I}(A, X) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(A)$ are closure systems.
- $\text{Z}\mathcal{I}(A, X)$ and $\text{z}\mathcal{I}(A, X)$ are algebraic closure systems.
- If $X \subseteq Y \subseteq \text{Spec}(A)$ then $\text{v}\mathcal{I}(A, X) \subseteq \text{v}\mathcal{I}(A, Y)$, $\text{Z}\mathcal{I}(A, X) \subseteq \text{Z}\mathcal{I}(A, Y)$ and $\text{z}\mathcal{I}(A, X) \subseteq \text{z}\mathcal{I}(A, Y)$.
- If $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$ then $\text{v}\mathcal{I}(A, Y) = \text{Z}\mathcal{I}(A, Y) = \text{z}\mathcal{I}(A, Y) = \mathcal{I}^{\text{rad}}(A)$.

Let X be a topological space.

- $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is the frame of open sets and $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is the lattice of closed sets.
- The **b -topology** on X : The locally closed subsets, i.e., the sets $O \setminus O'$ with $O, O' \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, are a basis of open sets for the b -topology.
 - For a subset $W \subseteq X$ the closure for the b -topology is denoted by \overline{W}^b .
 - If $Y \subseteq X$ is a subspace then the b -topology of X restricts to the b -topology of Y .
- Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(X)$ be a subset. Then $\mathcal{O}(X, \mathcal{S}) = \{\bigcup \mathcal{S}' \mid \mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}\}$ is the set of **\mathcal{S} -open sets** and $\mathcal{A}(X, \mathcal{S})$, the set of complements, is the set of **\mathcal{S} -closed sets**. Every $W \subseteq X$ is contained in a smallest \mathcal{S} -closed set $\overline{W}^{\mathcal{S}}$, its **\mathcal{S} -closure**.
- $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{O}(X))$ is the set of the quasi-compact open sets.
- Let X be a **spectral space**,
 - i.e., X is a sober T_0 -space, $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{O}(X)) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(X)$ is a bounded sublattice and a basis of open sets.
 - Let $\mathcal{K}(X)$ be the Boolean algebra generated by the compact elements. Its elements are finite unions of **basic constructible sets** $U \setminus V$ with $U, V \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{O}(X))$. The **patch topology** or **constructible topology** is generated by $\mathcal{K}(X)$. The patch topology is Boolean; the patch closure of $W \subseteq X$ is denoted by $\overline{W}^{\text{con}}$.

Topologies on posets. Let P be a complete lattice.

- A topology τ on P is a **lower topology**, resp. an **upper topology**, if every open set is a downset, resp. an upset.
- There is a coarsest lower topology on P , the **coarse lower topology** $\tau^\ell(P)$: the sets $P \setminus a^\uparrow$, $a \in P$, are a subbasis of open sets.

By default, P will always be considered with the coarse lower topology.

- There is a coarsest upper topology on P , called the **coarse upper topology**.
- The **Scott topology** $\sigma(P)$: A subset $U \subseteq P$ is open if it is an upset and if $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for all up-directed $D \subseteq P$ with $\bigvee D \in U$.
- The **lower Scott topology** $\sigma^\ell(P)$: The open sets are downsets, and the condition about up-directed sets is replaced by the corresponding condition about down-directed sets.

Now assume that P is an algebraic lattice.

- The coarse lower topology is a spectral topology. The quasi-compact open sets are the sets $P \setminus F^\uparrow$ with $F \subseteq \mathbb{K}(P)$ finite.
- The inverse topology of $\tau^\ell(P)$ is $\sigma(P)$.
- The sets $F^\uparrow \setminus G^\uparrow$ with $F, G \subseteq \mathbb{K}(P)$ finite are a basis of the patch topology, and the sets $a^\uparrow \setminus b^\uparrow$, $a, b \in \mathbb{K}(P)$ are a subbasis.
- For the coarse lower topology there is a b -topology. The sets $a^\uparrow \setminus F^\uparrow$ with $a \in P$ and $F \subseteq P$ finite are a basis of open sets, and the sets $a^\uparrow \setminus b^\uparrow$, $a, b \in P$ are a subbasis.

Example. Let S be any set. Then $\mathfrak{P}(S)$ with inclusion as partial order is an algebraic lattice, hence the coarse lower topology is spectral. In fact, this is the only lower topology that is spectral.

- The sets $\mathfrak{P}(S) \setminus A^\uparrow = \bigcup_{a \in A} S \setminus a^\uparrow$ with $A \subseteq S$ are a subbasis of open sets, hence the sets $S \setminus a^\uparrow$ with $a \in S$ are a subbasis as well.
- $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{P}(S)) = \mathfrak{P}_{\text{fin}}(S)$.
- $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{P}(S)) = \{a \subseteq S \mid |S \setminus A| \leq 1\}$.

Maps between posets. Let $\varphi : P \rightarrow Q$ be map between complete posets.

- It is always assumed that φ is monotonic. Additional properties will always be announced explicitly.
- If $D \subseteq P$ is up-directed (or down-directed) then $\varphi(D) \subseteq Q$ is up-directed (or down-directed). We call φ a **dcpo-map** if $\bigvee \varphi(D) = \varphi(\bigvee D)$ for all up-directed sets, or an **fcpo-map** if the corresponding condition holds for down-directed sets.
- φ preserves all infima if and only if there is a **left adjoint map** $\varphi^* : Q \rightarrow P$, i.e., $b \leq \varphi(a) \Leftrightarrow \varphi^*(b) \leq a$ with $a \in P$ and $b \in Q$. Explicitly, $\varphi^*(b)$ is the smallest $a \in P$ with $b \leq \varphi(a)$.
- φ preserves all suprema if and only if there is a **right adjoint map** $\varphi_* : Q \rightarrow P$, i.e., $\varphi(a) \leq b \Leftrightarrow a \leq \varphi_*(b)$ with $a \in P$ and $b \in Q$. Explicitly, $\varphi_*(b)$ is the largest $a \in P$ with $\varphi(a) \leq b$.

Theorem 2.

Let $\varphi : P \rightarrow Q$ be a monotonic map of complete lattices.

- (a) φ is an fcpo-map if and only if it is continuous for the lower Scott topology.
- (b) φ is a dcpo-map if and only if it is continuous for the Scott topology.
- (c) φ preserves all infima if and only if it preserves the top element and finite meets and is continuous for the coarse lower topology.
- (d) φ preserves all suprema if and only if it preserves the bottom element and finite joins and is continuous for the coarse upper topology.
- (e) Let P be an algebraic lattice and assume that φ is surjective and preserves all suprema. Then $\mathbb{K}(Q) \subseteq \varphi(\mathbb{K}(P))$.

Example 3.

Let P be a complete poset, $Q \subseteq P$ a closure system, $\iota : Q \rightarrow P$ the inclusion, $\eta : P \rightarrow P$ the corresponding **closure operator**, and $\vartheta : P \rightarrow Q$ the **closure map**, i.e., the corestriction of η .

- ι preserves all infima, hence has a left adjoint map, which is ϑ .
- ϑ has the right adjoint map ι , hence preserves all suprema.
- ι is continuous for the coarse lower topology.
- ϑ is continuous for the Scott topology.

Moreover, $Q \subseteq P$ is closed for the b -topology. (For, if $a \in P \setminus Q$ then $a^\uparrow \setminus \eta(a)^\uparrow$ is a b -open neighborhood of a and is disjoint from Q .)

Theorem 4.

Let P be an algebraic lattice, $Q \subseteq P$ a closure system, with inclusion ι , closure operator η and closure map ϑ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) Q is algebraic in P .
- (b) $\sigma(P)|_Q = \sigma(Q)$. (In general one knows that $\sigma(Q) \subseteq \sigma(P)|_Q$.)
- (c) Q is a spectral subspace of P .
- (d) $\vartheta(\mathbb{K}(P)) = \mathbb{K}(Q)$. (The inclusion $\mathbb{K}(Q) \subseteq \vartheta(\mathbb{K}(P))$ holds more generally by Theorem 2.)
- (e) Q is sober with respect to $\sigma(P)|_Q$.

Remark.

Assume that $Q \subseteq P$ is an algebraic closure system in an algebraic lattice. Then ι is a spectral map, and η and ϑ are continuous for the Scott topology. But they need not be spectral maps.

Theorem 5.

Let P be an algebraic lattice and $Q = \langle X \rangle_P \subseteq P$ a closure system. Then:

- (a) $\overline{Q}^{\text{con}}$ is an algebraic closure system and is equal to $\langle \overline{X}^{\text{con}} \rangle_P$.
- (b) Q is dense in $\overline{Q}^{\text{con}}$ for the b -topology belonging to the Scott topology.
- (c) $\overline{Q}^{\text{con}}$ with the Scott topology is the sobrification of $(Q, \sigma(P)|_Q)$.
- (d) $\mathbb{K}(\overline{Q}^{\text{con}}) \subseteq Q$ (but $x \in \mathbb{K}(\overline{Q}^{\text{con}})$ need not be compact in Q).

Proof of (a). The claim follows from the following two facts: $\langle \overline{X}^{\text{con}} \rangle_P$ is patch closed, and $\overline{Q}^{\text{con}}$ is a closure system.

Here is a short explanation of the second fact: The meet operation $\wedge : P \times P \rightarrow P$ is a spectral map. Since $\wedge(Q \times Q) \subseteq Q$ it follows that $\wedge(\overline{Q}^{\text{con}} \times \overline{Q}^{\text{con}}) = \wedge(\overline{Q \times Q}^{\text{con}}) \subseteq \overline{Q}^{\text{con}}$. Thus, $\overline{Q}^{\text{con}}$ is closed under finite meets. Being patch closed it is also closed under down-directed infima. Together this shows that $\overline{Q}^{\text{con}}$ is closed under all infima, i.e., is a closure system. The closure system is algebraic by Theorem 4.

Closure systems generated by prime elements.

Proposition 6.

Let P be a complete lattice.

- (a) If $Q \subseteq P$ is a meet sub-semilattice. Then $\mathbb{P}(P) \cap Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}(Q)$.
- (b) If $Q = \langle X \rangle_P$ and $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}(Q)$ then Q is isomorphic to the frame $\mathcal{O}(X^b)$, where $X^b = X \setminus \{\top\}$.
- (c) $\mathbb{P}(P)$ and $\mathbb{P}(P)^b$ are closed for the b -topology and are both sober. (But note that the supremum of an up-directed set of prime elements needs not be prime.)
- (d) If $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}(P)$ then $\langle X \rangle_P = \langle Y \rangle_P$ if and only if $\overline{X}^\beta = \overline{Y}^\beta$.

Proof of (d). Since $\langle X \rangle_P = \langle \overline{X}^\beta \rangle_P$ and $\langle Y \rangle_P = \langle \overline{Y}^\beta \rangle_P$ we may assume that X and Y are b -closed. Thus the implication \Leftarrow is clear.

For the converse assume that there is some $y \in Y \setminus X$. Then $y = \bigwedge y^\uparrow \cap X$. There is a b -open neighborhood U of y that is disjoint from X . We may assume that $U = y^\uparrow \setminus F^\uparrow$ for some finite $F \subseteq P$. Then $\bigwedge F \not\leq y$ (since y is prime), but $\bigwedge F \leq \bigwedge y^\uparrow \cap X = y$ (since $y^\uparrow \cap X \subseteq F^\uparrow$), a contradiction.

Theorem 7.

Let P be an algebraic closure system, $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}(P)$. Then:

- (a) If P is arithmetic then $\mathbb{P}(P)$ is patch closed in P .
- (b) If P is coherent then $\mathbb{P}(P)^b$ is patch closed in P .
- (c) Assume that $X \subseteq P$ is patch closed. Then $\langle X \rangle_P$ is patch closed in P , is an arithmetic algebraic frame isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(X^b)$, and $\mathbb{P}(\langle X \rangle_P) = \mathbb{P}(P) \cap \langle X \rangle_P = X \cup \{\top\}$.
- (d) Assume that X is patch closed in P and is contained in $\mathbb{P}(P)^b$. Then $\langle X \rangle_P$ is patch closed in P and is a coherent algebraic frame isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(X)$, and $\mathbb{P}(\langle X \rangle_P)^b = \mathbb{P}(P)^b \cap \langle X \rangle_P = X$.

Again, consider a ring A , $\mathcal{I}(A)$ the algebraic lattice of ideals, a subset $X \subseteq \text{Spec}(A)$, and the closure systems $v\mathcal{I}(A, X) \subseteq Z\mathcal{I}(A, X) \subseteq z\mathcal{I}(A, X)$ where $X \subseteq \text{Spec}(A)$. The closure operators belonging to the closure systems are denoted by η_X^v , η_X^Z and η_X^z . Hence $\eta_X^z(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \eta_X^Z(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \eta_X^v(\mathfrak{a})$ for each $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft A$.

Proposition 8.

- (a) If $\mathfrak{a} = (a)$ is a principal ideal then $\eta_X^z(\mathfrak{a}) = \eta_X^Z(\mathfrak{a}) = \eta_X^v(\mathfrak{a})$.
- (b) If \mathfrak{a} is finitely generated then $\eta_X^Z(\mathfrak{a}) = \eta_X^v(\mathfrak{a})$.
- (c) If $\eta_X^z(\mathfrak{c}) = \eta_X^Z(\mathfrak{c})$ for all finitely generated ideals then $\eta_X^z = \eta_X^Z$.
- (d) For all $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ we have $\eta_X^Z(\mathfrak{a}) = \bigcup_{F \in \mathfrak{P}_{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{a})} \eta_X^v((F))$.

Theorem 9.

Let A be a ring, $X, Y \subseteq \text{Spec}(A)$ subsets.

- (a) $v\mathcal{I}(A, X) = \langle X \rangle_{\mathcal{I}(A)} \simeq \mathcal{O}(X)$.
- (b) $v\mathcal{I}(A, X) = v\mathcal{I}(A, Y)$ if and only if $\overline{X}^\beta = \overline{Y}^\beta$.

Proof. (a). It is clear that $X \subseteq v\mathcal{I}(A, X)$, hence $\langle X \rangle_{\mathcal{I}(A)} \subseteq v\mathcal{I}(A, X)$, and $v\mathcal{I}(A, X) \subseteq \langle X \rangle_{\mathcal{I}(A)}$ follows from the definition of vanishing ideals. The isomorphism with $\mathcal{O}(X)$: see Proposition 6.

(b) follows from (a) and Proposition 6.

Theorem 10.

Let A be a ring, $X \subseteq \text{Spec}(A)$. Then $Z\mathcal{I}(A, X) = \langle \overline{X}^{\text{con}} \rangle_{\mathcal{I}(A)} = \overline{\text{v}\mathcal{I}(A, X)}^{\text{con}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(\overline{X}^{\text{con}})$, and $\mathbb{P}(Z\mathcal{I}(A, X))^b = \overline{X}^{\text{con}}$. Thus, $Z\mathcal{I}(A, X)$ is a coherent algebraic frame. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $\text{v}\mathcal{I}(A, X) = Z\mathcal{I}(A, X)$.
- (b) $\text{v}\mathcal{I}(A, X)$ is an algebraic closure system.
- (c) $\overline{X}^\beta = \overline{X}^{\text{con}}$.

Proof, partial. The equality $\langle \overline{X}^{\text{con}} \rangle_{\mathcal{I}(A)} = \overline{\text{v}\mathcal{I}(A, X)}^{\text{con}}$ and the isomorphism with $\mathcal{O}(\overline{X}^{\text{con}})$ follow from Theorems 5 and 9.

The equality $Z\mathcal{I}(A, X) = \langle \overline{X}^{\text{con}} \rangle_{\mathcal{I}(A)}$ follows from Proposition 8: every large z -ideal is an up-directed union of vanishing ideals, hence belongs to the algebraic closure system $\overline{\text{v}\mathcal{I}(A, X)}^{\text{con}}$.

Example 11. $\text{v}\mathcal{I}(A, X)$ can be a proper subset of $Z\mathcal{I}(A, X)$.

A general argument: Let A be a ring such that $\text{Spec}(A)$ is not Noetherian. Then there is some $X \in \mathcal{O}(\text{Spec}(A)) \setminus \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{O}(\text{Spec}(A)))$. Being open, X is closed for the b -topology, but is not patch closed.

For a concrete instance, let K be a field, $A = K[T_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}]$ a polynomial ring with infinitely many variables, let \mathfrak{m} be the ideal generated by the variables and set $X = \text{Spec}(A) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.

Finally the comparison of large and small z -ideals.

- The sets $(a)^\dagger \setminus (b)^\dagger \subseteq \mathcal{I}(A)$, with $a, b \in A$, are a subbasis, \mathcal{T} , of open sets for the patch topology.
- Let \mathcal{S} be restriction of \mathcal{T} to $\text{Spec}(A)$, i.e., the collection of sets $D(a) \cap V(b)$.
- Every \mathcal{S} -closed set in $\text{Spec}(A)$ is patch closed.

Example 12. Patch closed sets that are not \mathcal{S} -closed.

- Let C be an algebraically closed field, $A = C[S, T]$ the polynomial ring with 2 variables, \mathfrak{m} the maximal ideal (S, T) and $X = \text{Spec}(A) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.
- X is open in the Noetherian space $\text{Spec}(A)$, hence is patch closed.
- But X is not \mathcal{S} -closed: Assume that $\{\mathfrak{m}\}$ is \mathcal{S} -open. Then there are $a, b \in A$ with $\mathfrak{m} \in D(a) \cap V(b)$ and $D(a) \cap V(b) \cap X = \emptyset$, i.e., $D(a) \cap V(b) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Thus, in the quotient ring A_a the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \cdot A_a$ is a principal ideal, generated by b . Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem says that every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} that is minimal with $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ has height at most 1. But \mathfrak{m} has height 2 in A_a , a contradiction.

Theorem 13.

Let A be a ring, $X \subseteq \text{Spec}(A)$ and $Y = \overline{X}^{\mathcal{S}}$. Then:

- $z\mathcal{I}(A, X) = \overline{X}^{\mathcal{T}} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(A)$, hence also $z\mathcal{I}(A, X) = \overline{v\mathcal{I}(A, X)}^{\mathcal{T}}$.
- $\langle Y \rangle_{\mathcal{I}(A)} = z\mathcal{I}(A, X)$, and $Y = \text{Spec}(A) \cap z\mathcal{I}(A, X) = \mathbb{P}(z\mathcal{I}(A, X))^b$.
- $z\mathcal{I}(A, X)$ is a coherent algebraic frame.

Summary. If X varies in $\mathfrak{P}(\text{Spec}(A))$ then there are bijective correspondences between

- the closure systems $\mathbf{vI}(A, X)$ and the b -closed subsets of $\text{Spec}(A)$;
- the algebraic closure systems $\mathbf{ZI}(A, X)$ and the patch closed subsets of $\text{Spec}(A)$;
- the algebraic closure systems $\mathbf{zI}(A, X)$ and the \mathcal{S} -closed subsets of $\text{Spec}(A)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbf{vI}(A, X) & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \mathbf{ZI}(A, X) & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \mathbf{zI}(A, X) \\
 =\downarrow & & =\downarrow & & \downarrow = \\
 \mathbf{vI}(A, \overline{X}^\beta) & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \mathbf{ZI}(A, \overline{X}^\beta) & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \mathbf{zI}(A, \overline{X}^\beta) \\
 \subseteq\downarrow & & =\downarrow & & \downarrow = \\
 \mathbf{vI}(A, \overline{X}^{\text{con}}) & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathbf{ZI}(A, \overline{X}^{\text{con}}) & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \mathbf{zI}(A, \overline{X}^{\text{con}}) \\
 \subseteq\downarrow & & \subseteq\downarrow & & \downarrow = \\
 \mathbf{vI}(A, \overline{X}^{\mathcal{S}}) & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathbf{ZI}(A, \overline{X}^{\mathcal{S}}) & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathbf{zI}(A, \overline{X}^{\mathcal{S}})
 \end{array}$$

The inclusions in the diagram are always proper if the corresponding inclusions between the representation sets are proper.