Convolution algebras

John Harding

New Mexico State University wordpress.nmsu.edu/hardingj/

jharding@nmsu.edu

Malaysia Logic Society (online), October 2021

Overview

This is a story that begins in one place, and winds through other topics. The aim is an overview, rather than full detail.

This work was done with Carol and Elbert Walker.

Type-1 fuzzy subsets

 $X = \{50, 60, 70, 80, 90\}$

A type-1 fuzzy subset of X is a map $\operatorname{COLD}: X \to [0,1]$

The expert's opinion that 60 degrees F is cold is 0.8.



Interval valued fuzzy subsets

This is a map $\text{COLD}: X \rightarrow \{(a, b) \in [0, 1]^2 : a \leq b\}.$

The expert's opinion that 60 F is cold is between [0.6,0.9].



Type-2 fuzzy subsets

A type-2 fuzzy subset is $\text{COLD}: X \rightarrow \{f \mid f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]\}$



Truth value algebras

The truth value algebras for fuzzy sets, interval valued fuzzy sets, and type-2 fuzzy sets are

I = [0, 1]

$$\mathsf{I}^{[2]} = \{(a,b) : a \le b \in \mathsf{I}\}$$

 $\mathsf{M} = \{f \mid f : \mathsf{I} \to \mathsf{I}\}\$

I and $I^{[2]}$ sit in M as characteristic functions of points and intervals

Operations

I and I^[2] have naturally defined operations of $\land,\lor,\star,0,1$ making them De Morgan algebras.

Definition (Zadeh) Define the following operations on M

1.
$$(f \sqcap g)(x) = \bigvee \{(f(y) \land g(z)) : y \land z = x\}$$

2.
$$(f \sqcup g)(x) = \bigvee \{(f(y) \land g(z)) : y \lor z = x\}$$

3.
$$f^*(x) = f(1-x) = \bigvee \{f(y) : y^* = x\}$$

4.
$$0(x) = 1$$
 if $x = 0$ and 0 otherwise

5.
$$1(x) = 1$$
 if $x = 1$ and 0 otherwise

These are convolutions of the corresponding operations on I. (For polynomials $(p \cdot q)(n) = \sum \{p(i) \cdot q(j) : i + j = n\}$)

Equations

Theorem M satisfies the equations for De Morgan algebras except that absorption and distributivity are weakened to the following.

1.
$$x \sqcap (x \sqcup y) = x \sqcup (x \sqcap y)$$

2.
$$(x \sqcap y) \sqcup (x \sqcap z) \sqcup (y \sqcap z) = (x \sqcup y) \sqcap (x \sqcup z) \sqcap (y \sqcup z)$$

M is not a lattice.

The unbalanced distributive laws do not hold.

M is a type of thing known as a De Morgan Birkhoff system.

We return later to see why these things are true.

A related algebra

Definition A function $f : I \rightarrow I$ is convex normal if it goes up to 1, then down.



Convex normal functions are a not too restrictive setting for our desired use as belief functions.

A related algebra

We can "straighten" each convex normal function by reflecting its increasing part in the line y = 1.



Straightening takes the convolution operations to the pointwise operations on the lattice of decreasing functions from I to [0,2].

A related algebra

Theorem The convex normal functions are a subalgebra of M. For the quotient L of this subalgebra modulo agreement c.a.e.

- $1. \ L$ is a complete, completely distributive DeMorgan algebra
- 2. L is a compact Hausdorff topological algebra
- 3. $\int_0^1 |f(x) g(x)| dx$ is a metric on it

Further, the convolution \triangle of any continuous t-norm on I gives a commutative quantale structure (L, \triangle, \lor) .

Interlude

We began in a practical place, with the truth value algebra M for type-2 fuzzy sets. This was vaguely tied to convolutions.

Let's return to convolutions in a more deliberate way ...

Definition A relational structure $\mathfrak{X} = (X, (R_i)_I)$ is a set X with a family R_i of n_i -ary relations on it.

Note: A binary operation $+: X \times X \rightarrow X$ is a ternary relation.

The convolution algebra

Definition For a relational structure \mathfrak{X} and complete lattice L, the convolution algebra $L^{\mathfrak{X}}$ is the lattice L^{X} with additional operations f_{i} is given by

$$f_i(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)(x) = \bigvee \{\alpha_1(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n_i}(x_{n_i}) : (x_1,\ldots,x_{n_i},x) \in R_i\}$$

The dual convolution algebra $L^{\mathfrak{X}^-}$ has additional operations

$$g_i(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)(x) = \bigwedge \{\alpha_1(x_1) \lor \cdots \lor \alpha_{n_i}(x_{n_i}) : (x_1,\ldots,x_{n_i},x) \in R_i\}$$

The double convolution algebra has both $L^{\mathfrak{X}*} = (L^{X}, (f_{i})_{I}, (g_{i})_{I}).$

Our motivating example

Out type-2 fuzzy truth value algebra M is the convolution algebra

$M = I^{I}$

where I on the bottom is the unit interval as a lattice and I in the exponent is the unit interval $(I, \lor, \land, *, 0, 1)$ with operations viewed as ternary, binary, and unary relations. Here $x^* = 1 - x$.

We give another well-known motivating example ...

Complex algebras

If you consider a group $\mathcal{G} = (G, \cdot, ^{-1}, e)$ as a relational structure with a ternary, binary, and unary relation, its complex algebra is the usual group complex \mathcal{G}^+ where

$$A; B = \{ab: a \in A, b \in B\}$$
$$A^{\sim} = \{a^{-1}: a \in A\}$$
$$1' = \{e\}$$

These have been studied apparently since Frobenius. They are basic examples of relation algebras.

Complex algebras

For a relational structure \mathfrak{X} , its complex algebra \mathfrak{X}^+ is the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ with operations f_i where

$$f_i(A_i,\ldots,A_{n_i}) = \{x : \exists (x_1,\ldots,x_{n_i},x) \in R_i \text{ with } x_j \in A_j \text{ each } j\}$$

Its dual complex algebra \mathfrak{X}^- is $(\mathcal{P}(X), (g_i)_I)$ where

$$g_i(A_1,\ldots,A_{n_i}) = \{x : \forall (x_1,\ldots,x_{n_i},x) \in R_i \Rightarrow \exists j \text{ with } x_j \in A_j\}$$

Its double complex algebra \mathfrak{X}^* is $(\mathcal{P}(X), (f_i)_I, (g_i)_I)$.

Fundamental relationship

Proposition For \mathfrak{X} a relational structure

- 1. $\mathfrak{X}^+ \simeq 2^{\mathfrak{X}}$
- **2**. $\mathfrak{X}^- \simeq 2^{\mathfrak{X}-}$
- 3. $\mathfrak{X}^* \simeq 2^{\mathfrak{X}*}$

Theorem If L is a non-trivial complete lattice

1. L a frame $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^+$ and $L^{\mathfrak{X}}$ satisfy the same equations.

,,

- 2. L a dual frame $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^-$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{X}-}$
- 3. L completely distributive $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^*$ and $L^{\mathfrak{X}*}$ "

Note This provides the properties of M described earlier by considering the complex algebra I^+ of the unit interval.

Categorical aspects

Definition Lat is the category whose objects are complete lattices and whose morphisms are maps that preserve \land , \lor . Let Lat⁻ have the same objects with maps that preserve \lor , \land and Lat^{*} have the same objects with maps that preserve \land , \lor .

Definition $\operatorname{Rel}_{\tau}$ is the category of relational structures of type τ with morphisms being *p*-morphisms.

Definition $\operatorname{Alg}_{\tau}$ is the category whose objects are complete lattices with additional operations of type τ and homomorphisms preserving \wedge, \vee and the additional operations.

Categorical aspects

Theorem There is a bifunctor, covariant in the first argument and contravariant in the second

$$\mathsf{Conv}(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,):\mathsf{Lat}\times\mathsf{Rel}_{\tau}\to\mathsf{Alg}_{\tau}$$

For objects it takes (L, \mathfrak{X}) to $L^{\mathfrak{X}}$ For morphisms it takes $f : L \to M$, $p : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ to $\phi : L^{\mathfrak{X}} \to M^{\mathcal{Y}}$



We go in one further direction, again motivated by our original algebra M of type-2 fuzzy truth values.

A topos is a type of category that has features similar to the category of sets.

Each topos has an "internal logic" describing how things appear within the topos.

Here we are interested in a particular type of topos, that of étalé spaces.

Étalé spaces

Definition An étalé space \mathcal{E} over a topological space Y is a topological space E and a local homeomorphism $\pi : E \rightarrow Y$.



A morphism of étalé spaces over Y is a continuous map $f : E \rightarrow E'$ mapping a "stalk" to the corresponding stalk.



The category of étalé spaces is a topos. Its objects play the role of sets. In a way, they are "continuously varying sets".

Just as ordinary sets have subsets, an étalé has subobjects. There is an étalé that plays the role of the power set of a set, the power étalé $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E})$ whose "global elements" are subobjects of \mathcal{E} .

Theorem The subobjects of an étalé \mathcal{E} are the open sets of E, naturally considered as étalés.

Étalé spaces

Definition For a set X, let the "constant étalé \hat{X} is the space X \times Y with obvious projection.



The constant étalé of $X = \{p, q\}$.

Definition Let Y be the topological space consisting of the set [0,1) with the half-intervals $[0,\lambda)$ as opens.

Note The frame $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ of open sets of Y is the unit interval [0,1].

Key observation (Höhle) Fuzzy subsets of X correspond to subobjects of the constant étalé \hat{X} over Y.



So subobjects of the constant étalé \hat{I} correspond to elements of M.

Relational étalés

An n-ary relation on a set X is a subset $R \subseteq X \times \cdots \times X$.

Definition An n-ary relation on an étalé \mathcal{E} is a subobject of the product $\mathcal{E} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{E}$.

Definition A relational étalé is an étalé with a family of relations.

Definition For a relational étalé \mathcal{E} , the complex algebra \mathcal{E}^+ is the set of subobjects of \mathcal{E} with operations given by relational image.

Theorem M is isomorphic to the complex algebra \hat{l}^+ of the constant relational étalé over I.

Further results

Theorem For Y a topological space, $L=\mathcal{O}(Y)$ its lattice of open sets, and $\mathfrak X$ a relational structure

$$L^{\mathfrak{X}} \simeq \hat{\mathfrak{X}}^+.$$

These are both external notions. A topos is like a set theory, it has a "internal" complex algebras as well created via power objects.

Theorem $L^{\hat{x}}$ is isomorphic to the algebra of global elements of the internal complex algebra of the constant étalé \hat{x} .

Corollary M is isomorphic to the global elements of the internal complex algebra of $\hat{I}.$

Further results

It is tempting to conclude that the type-2 fuzzy truth value algebra is the internal complex algebra of the real unit interval I in the topos of étalés over Y.

Almost ... I is not the internal real unit interval in the topos!

These issues disappear if we modify our approach and replace the relational structure I with its rational counterpart. From a type-2 fuzzy standpoint, this seems to not be a large issue.

Thank You!

